

Press release

Randers, 10 January 2007 Press release No. 1/2007 Page 1 of 26

Wind power is competitive

A recent scenario analysis made by Emerging Energy Research (EER) on behalf of Vestas Wind Systems A/S concludes that based on the economic and risk analysis of power generation, wind technology can no longer be marginalised in the power mix. Thus, wind power should be supported in its penetration of the conventional power market to ensure a cleaner, more balanced energy supply in the future.

The analysis (enclosed below) presents the following results:

- In a carbon constrained world, wind power can be competitive with several conventional power technologies depending on the price of carbon. EER's analysis considers the impact of the cost of carbon at 30 euros per metric tonnes.
- Much of the generation capacity we are currently using in Europe is more than 20 years old and has as such been 100 per cent depreciated. Therefore, this analysis is interesting in that it compares like with like, i.e. newly built wind power plants with newly built conventional power plants.

All things considered, wind power is a superb supplement to the current power mix as it increases the supply of electricity, reduces the consumption of conventional fuels, has little or no carbon footprint and is an inexhaustible local resource.

Any questions regarding this press release may be addressed to Peter Brun, Senior Vice President of Governmental Relations, telephone: +45 9730 0000.

Yours sincerely, Vestas Wind Systems A/S

Peter Brun Senior Vice President, Governmental Relations





Comparative Costs of Energy Coal, CCGT, Wind

6 October 2006

Alex Klein +1 617 551 8482 aklein@emerging-energy.com

- Three price scenarios have been provided: Low Case, Base Case, and High Case.
 For each of these scenarios, the price of electricity includes and excludes a €30 carbon penalty for the generation of CO₂ from fossil fuels.
- Estimates of the cost of electricity have been calculated using EER's in-house financial model. Our assumptions, presented in the following, are derived from various industry sources and internal judgments.
- The information provided is based on our estimates of the cost of building a <u>new facility</u> in <u>continental Europe</u>. Actual plant costs can vary significantly based on technology, supplier and location.
- Low and high cost scenarios define a broad range of market conditions to reflect price sensitivity to changes in capital, operating, commodity and fuel costs.
- Economics exclude the potential impact of financial distorters including grants and subsidies. Our assumptions also exclude the cost of land and taxes.





Key considerations in anticipating future electricity production costs

- Natural Gas CCGT •
 - Fuel prices will be the single most critical factor contributing to the cost of electricity from new build CCGT plants.
 - Efficiency of new CCGT plants is improving, in some cases exceeding 55%, with the industry targeting 60% in the short- to-medium term.
 - Increased commodity prices and more advanced NOx control systems are adding to the investment cost of newer facilities.
- Wind
 - Industry scaling and technology improvements have reduced capital and operating costs significantly over the last decade.
 - Supply chain shortages and increased commodity prices have led to increased capital costs over the last 2-3 years.
 - As the industry adjusts to greater demand globally, capital costs are expected to retrench somewhat.
 - Costs are very site specific with costs impacted strongly by wind speed and variability.
 - Wind can have relatively short planning and construction times helping to reduce overnight capital costs incurred and planning risk compared with other generation projects.





Key considerations in anticipating future electricity production costs

Pulverised Coal Combustion

- New build coal in Europe must meet the EU Large Combustion Plant Directive (LCPD), which requires Flue-Gas Desulphurization (FGD) increasing the cost of new plants over those built in the previous decade.
- The efficiency of new combustion plants is improving, approaching 40%, helping to offset higher capital and fuel costs.
- Plant performance, and sensitivity to fluctuating carbon prices, will be impacted by the quality of coal used as the feedstock (ie anthracite, bituminous, sub-bituminous, lignite).

• IGCC with carbon capture

- A great deal of uncertainty surrounds true costs as no commercial plants have operated in this configuration.
- As with any novel technology, availability and performance will be crucial to realising theoretical costs predicted from engineering and design studies.
- Logistics and regulatory requirements associated with CO₂ storage have not yet been fully defined, and will have an important impact on actual future costs of operation.
- In some instances, value added end markets for CO₂, such as for use in EOR, may help to offset the required capital and operating costs associated with capturing, storing and transporting CO₂.
- Other technologies, such as Oxyfuel combustion, could become attractive for near zero emission coal fired generation, but due to the current high price of oxygen separation, this is likely to be more expensive than IGCC with carbon capture in the short-term.





| | Nominal Capacity (MW) | Discount Rate | Economic Lifetime | Energy Content of Fuel |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Pulverised Coal Combustion | 1,000 | 8.6% | 30 | 27 MJ / Kg |
| IGCC | 600 | 8.6% | 30 | 27 MJ / Kg |
| IGCC w/ CCS | 600 | 8.6% | 30 | 27 MJ / Kg |
| CCGT | 500 | 8.6% | 25 | 37 MJ/ m ³ |
| Onshore Wind | 100 | 8.6% | 20 | N/A |
| Offshore Wind | 100 | 8.6% | 20 | N/A |



Variable Assumptions – Base Case

| | Base Case | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|--|--|
| | Capex (€/ kW) | Opex (€/ MWh) | Plant Efficiency | Capacity Factor | Fuel Cost | | |
| Pulverised Coal Combustion | 1,100 | 9.0 | 38% | .80 | €50 / Tonne | | |
| IGCC | 1,400 | 13.0 | 41.5 % | .75 | €50 / Tonne | | |
| IGCC w / CCS | 1,800 | 14.0 | 39 % | .75 | €50 / Tonne | | |
| CCGT | 550 | 3.8 | 55% | .80 | €4.75 / GJ | | |
| Onshore Wind | 1,150 | 3.0 | N/A | .30 | 0 | | |
| Offshore Wind | 1,750 | 4.0 | N/A | .40 | 0 | | |



Variable Assumptions – Low Case

| Low Case | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|--|
| | Capex (€/ kW) | Opex (€/ MWh) | Plant Efficiency | Capacity Factor | Fuel Cost | |
| Pulverised Coal Combustion | 1,000 | 8 | 39% | .80 | €40 / Tonne | |
| IGCC | 1,350 | 12.5 | 42% | .80 | €40 / Tonne | |
| IGCC w / CCS | 1,650 | 13.5 | 39.5% | .80 | €40 / Tonne | |
| CCGT | 500 | 2.8 | 57% | .80 | €3.50 / GJ | |
| Onshore Wind | 1,050 | 2.5 | N/A | .35 | 0 | |
| Offshore Wind | 1,650 | 3.0 | N/A | .43 | 0 | |



Variable Assumptions – High Case

| High Case | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|--|
| | Capex (€/ kW) | Opex (€/ MWh) | Plant Efficiency | Capacity Factor | Fuel Cost | |
| Pulverised Coal Combustion | 1,350 | 11.0 | 37% | 80 | €70 / Tonne | |
| IGCC | 1,700 | 15.5 | 40% | .65 | €70 / Tonne | |
| IGCC w / CCS | 2,000 | 16.5 | 37% | .65 | €70 / Tonne | |
| CCGT | 600 | 4.0 | 55 % | .80 | €8 / GJ | |
| Onshore Wind | 1,350 | 4.0 | N/A | .28 | 0 | |
| Offshore Wind | 1,950 | 4.5 | N/A | .32 | 0 | |



Range of Potential Electricity Costs from Various New Power Plants







Cost of Electricity – Low Case Comparison w/ €30 Carbon Penalty





Cost of Electricity – High Case Comparison w/ €30 Carbon Penalty





Emerging Energy Research provides analyst-directed advisory services on an annual subscription basis, providing market intelligence, competitive analysis and strategy advice in response to the specific needs of our clients. These services provide value-added support of clients' competitive and market strategies, and are intended to be interactive, offering clients direct access to EER experts.

Advisory service clients receive a stream of market and company briefs, ongoing market data and forecast support, telephone inquiry privileges, and regular analyst briefings. While much of the content is syndicated, clients also receive ongoing individual support of market assessment and strategy development needs.

For more information on EER's advisory services, please contact Marcel van Galen at mvangalen@emerging-energy.com, or contact one of our offices:

Cambridge

Barcelona

Emerging Energy Research 700 Technology Square Cambridge, MA 02139 USA Phone: +1 617 551 8480 Fax: +1 617 551 8481

Emerging Energy Research Paseo de Gracia 60. 3B Barcelona 08007 Spain Phone: +34 93 467 6750 Fax: +34 93 467 6754







Comparative Costs of Renewable Power Generation

22 December 2006

Alex Klein +1 617 551 8482 aklein@emerging-energy.com

- Estimates of the cost of electricity have been calculated using EER's in-house financial model. Our assumptions, presented in the following, are derived from various industry sources and internal judgments.
- The information provided is based on our estimates of the cost of building a <u>new facility</u> in <u>continental Europe</u>. Actual plant costs can vary significantly based on technology, supplier and location.
- Low and high cost scenarios define a broad range of market conditions to reflect price sensitivity to changes in capital, operating, commodity and fuel costs.
- Economics exclude the potential impact of financial distorters including grants and subsidies. Our assumptions also exclude the cost of land and taxes.



Comparative Costs of Renewable Power Generation **Fixed Assumptions**

| | Nominal Capacity (MW) | Discount Rate | Economic Lifetime | Energy Content of Fuel |
|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Biomass | 10 | 8.6% | 30 | 18 MJ / Kg |
| Geothermal | 50 | 8.6% | 25 | N/A |
| Solar PV | 10 | 8.6% | 25 | N/A |
| Solar CSP | 50 | 8.6% | 25 | N/A |
| Onshore Wind | 100 | 8.6% | 20 | N/A |
| Offshore Wind | 100 | 8.6% | 20 | N/A |



Comparative Costs of Renewable Power Generation Variable Assumptions – Base Case

| | Capex (€/ kW) | Opex (€/ MWh) | Plant Efficiency | Capacity Factor | Fuel Cost |
|---------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Biomass | 1,550 | 20.0 | 23% | .75 | €50 / Tonne |
| Geothermal | 2,200 | 13.0 | N/A | .85 | 0 |
| Solar CSP | 3,800 | 40.0 | N/A | .23 | 0 |
| Solar PV | 6,100 | 7.0 | N/A | .17 | 0 |
| Onshore Wind | 1,150 | 3.0 | N/A | .30 | 0 |
| Offshore Wind | 1,750 | 4.0 | N/A | .40 | 0 |

Comparative Costs of Renewable Power Generation Variable Assumptions – Low Case

| | Capex (€/ kW) | Opex (€/ MWh) | Plant Efficiency | Capacity Factor | Fuel Cost |
|---------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Biomass | 1,500 | 16.0 | 25% | .80 | €40 / Tonne |
| Geothermal | 1,800 | 20.0 | N/A | .90 | N/A |
| Solar CSP | 3,500 | 30.0 | N/A | .24 | N/A |
| Solar PV | 5,600 | 5.0 | N/A | .19 | N/A |
| Onshore Wind | 1,050 | 2.5 | N/A | .35 | 0 |
| Offshore Wind | 1,650 | 3.0 | N/A | .43 | 0 |



Comparative Costs of Renewable Power Generation Variable Assumptions – High Case

| | Capex (€/ kW) | Opex (€/ MWh) | Plant Efficiency | Capacity Factor | Fuel Cost |
|---------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Biomass | 1,650 | 24.0 | 22% | .70 | €85 / Tonne |
| Geothermal | 2,300 | 30.0 | N/A | .85 | N/A |
| Solar CSP | 4,000 | 50.0 | N/A | .21 | N/A |
| Solar PV | 7,000 | 12.0 | N/A | .15 | N/A |
| Onshore Wind | 1,450 | 4.0 | N/A | .28 | 0 |
| Offshore Wind | 1,950 | 4.5 | N/A | .32 | 0 |

Comparative Costs of Renewable Power Generation Costs under varying conditions



Source: emerging energy estimates



Comparative Costs of Renewable Power Generation Base Case







Comparative Costs of Renewable Power Generation Low Case







Comparative Costs of Renewable Power Generation High Case





Comparative Costs of Renewable Power Generation **Range of Potential Energy Costs**





Emerging Energy Research provides analyst-directed advisory services on an annual subscription basis, providing market intelligence, competitive analysis and strategy advice in response to the specific needs of our clients. These services provide value-added support of clients' competitive and market strategies, and are intended to be interactive, offering clients direct access to EER experts.

Advisory service clients receive a stream of market and company briefs, ongoing market data and forecast support, telephone inquiry privileges, and regular analyst briefings. While much of the content is syndicated, clients also receive ongoing individual support of market assessment and strategy development needs.

For more information on EER's advisory services, please contact Marcel van Galen at <u>mvangalen@emerging-energy.com</u>, or contact one of our offices:

Cambridge

Barcelona

Emerging Energy Research 700 Technology Square Cambridge, MA 02139 USA Phone: +1 617 551 8480 Fax: +1 617 551 8481 Emerging Energy Research Paseo de Gracia 60, 3B Barcelona 08007 Spain Phone: +34 93 467 6750 Fax: +34 93 467 6754

